

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

5

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/791,719	03/04/2004	Hirotsuna Miura	118424	5313	
25944 OLIFF & BER	7590 09/11/2007 RIDGE, PLC	EXAMINER			
P.O. BOX 19928			CREPEAU, J	CREPEAU, JONATHAN	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1745		
				<u> </u>	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/11/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/791,719	MIURA, HIROTSUNA		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Jonathan S. Crepeau	1745		

	Jonathan S. Crepeau	1745					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 05 September 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o	Appeal. To avoid abaidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)				
<ul> <li>a)</li></ul>	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejecti	on.				
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPÉP 7	06.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprince in the final Office in the final Offic	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as				
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in being appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ected claims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.11		manliant Amandusant	(DTOL 224)				
<ul> <li>The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1</li> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li> </ul>		impliant Amendment	(P10L-324).				
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of				
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>5 and 6</u> . AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar</li> </ol>	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	Is to provide a				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u>	it does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
		121					
		Jonathan Crepeau Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1745					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06) Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The filing of a translation of JP 2003-058743 is noted. However, the translation has not been certified, which is required to perfect priority. Accordingly, the 102 rejections have not been obviated.

Applicants state that the double patenting rejections should be withdrawn because the present application has a perfected filing date of 3/5/03, antedating the copending applications. However, this is not persuasive because even if the priority date in the instant application is perfected, the U.S. filing date is the relevant date, not the foreign priority date. Thus, the double patenting rejections cannot be withdrawn without a terminal disclaimer.

Applicants further state that claims 5 and 6 should be rejoined. However, claims 5 and 6 are product-by-process claims and are not subject to rejoinder in the event that claim 1 should be found allowable (this is contrasted with an In re Ochiai situation, see MPEP 806.05(f)). Accordingly, a complete reply to the final rejection requires cancellation of claims 5 and 6.